

TYPE APPROVAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

Date of issue: **2021-08-24**

Particulars of Assessment						
Type of Assessment:	☐ Initial ⊠ Periodical ☐ Renewal					
Company applying for Type Approval:	REIKU GmbH					
Company Address:	s: Wiehl					
Product Type	PARAB & PARNB conduit with PAHOB system supports, PAGOB & VPGRB straight connectors, VPBRB 90 degree elbow, T & Y distributions					
Type Approval Certificate (TAC) No:	TAE000007F Revision No: 1					
Expiry Date:	2023-04-15					
Initial Assessment						
Assessment Item		Yes	No	N/A	Remarks	
General Items ¹						
Is product certification performed regularly and at least every 3 month?				\boxtimes		
Is an MSA established and retention assessments carried out at least annually?				\boxtimes		
Has the company a quality system certified to ISO 9001 or equivalent by an accredited body?				\boxtimes		
Checklist items						
Are procedures for inspection and control of deliveries from subcontractors and outsourced production established and followed?				\boxtimes		
Are procedures for quality control of the products during production established and followed?				\boxtimes		
Are procedures for final inspection and testing of the product established and followed?				\boxtimes		
Are inspection and test results recorded and documented properly?				\boxtimes		
Is production equipment functioning satisfactorily and control equipment calibrated?				\boxtimes		
Is production, testing and quality control performed by qualified personnel?				\boxtimes		
Is the production performed on the basis of the same design documentation that shall be the basis for the Type Approval?				\boxtimes		
Has the company the property rights of the design documentation?				\boxtimes		
Does the company take the sole responsibility for the complete product and its compliance to the requirements?						
Is the product manufactured at other companies? If yes, the list of companies shall be attached to this report						
Has the company established procedures that ensure required quality and consistent production at other manufacturers?				\boxtimes		
Is sufficient identification and traceability ensured through the marking of the product?				\boxtimes		
Has the company been informed about the difference between TA and product certification and the requirements for its product?				\boxtimes		

Initial assessment may be omitted if any of the general items are answered "Yes" and if no other companies are allowed to manufacture the product.



form code: TA 401 Revision: 2021-03 www.dnv.com Page 1 of 3



TAC No: **TAE000007F**Date of issue: **2021-08-24**

Assessment Item	Yes	No	N/A	Remarks
Has DNV received an updated asbestos free declaration (applicable for changes to the product, when adding new products to the TAC, when sub manufacturers or sub suppliers have changed and when renewing the TAC)?				
Conclusion				
On the basis of the initial assessment, it is concluded that the company has a production line and quality control in operation for consistent production of the products for which TA is requested				
Renewal/Periodical Assessment				
Assessment Item	Yes	No	N/A	Remarks
Checklist items				
Is the Type Approved documentation available at the company	\boxtimes			
Are any changes made to the design of the product that affects the TA?		\boxtimes		
Is the TA to be extended to include other products or product ranges?		\boxtimes		
Are additional documentation to be included in the Type Approval Certificate (TAC)?				
Are new limitations to be included in the TAC?		\boxtimes		
Shall any company be deleted or added to the list of companies that are allowed to manufacture the product?		\boxtimes		
Is the established procedure for ensuring consistent production at other companies maintained and followed?				
Has the company still a production line and quality control for consistent production of the products?				Ref. ISO 9001:2015 certificate no. 44 100 070577 / TÜV Nord
Are there any changes to the production line and/or quality control?		\boxtimes		
Is the TA documentation used as basis for the production of the products?	\boxtimes			
Is use of subcontractors handled in a controlled manner?	\boxtimes			
Is outsourcing of processes and production handled in a controlled manner?		\boxtimes		
Has the company received any claims w.r.t. the type approved products?		\boxtimes		
Has any claims w.r.t. the type approved products been handled properly?	\boxtimes			
Does the company still take the sole responsibility for the product?	\boxtimes			
Has randomly selected products from production or stock been examined and/or tested with satisfactory result (See TAC and/or TA programme)?				Ref. Production line Quality control record as attached.
Is the product marking traceable to the TAC?	\boxtimes			Ref. attached Cable protection picture.
Is the TAC information found on the DNV Approval Finder Internet site correct?				
Has the company been informed about any new requirements applicable for the product?	\boxtimes			
Shall it for any reason be considered to suspend the TAC?		\boxtimes		
Conclusion				
On the basis of the periodical assessment, it is concluded that the conditions for the Type Approval are not altered since the certificate was	\boxtimes			

Form code: TA 401 Revision: 2021-03 www.dnv.com Page 2 of 3



TAC No: **TAE000007F**Date of issue: **2021-08-24**

Issued at Essen on 2021-08-24



for **DNV**

This document has been digitally signed and will therefore not have handwritten signatures

Ahn, Jae Hyung Surveyor

Form code: TA 401 Revision: 2021-03 www.dnv.com Page 3 of 3